

POLIDATA® Political Data Analysis

DATABASE DEVELOPMENT, ANALYSIS AND PUBLICATION; POLITICAL AND CENSUS DATA; REDISTRICTING SUPPORT

CLARK BENSEN POLIDATA • 3112 Cave Court, Suite B • Lake Ridge, VA 22192-1167 Tel: 703-690-4066 • Fax: 703-494-4061 (24hrs) • email: clark@polidata.org PUBLISHER OF THE POLIDATA ® DEMOGRAPHIC AND POLITICAL GUIDES AND ATLASES website: www.polidata.org

ELECTORAL COLLEGE WARFARE The Coming Battle in the Trenches March 18, 2001 CLARK BENSEN¹ POLIDATA ® Political Data Analysis

The closeness of the 2000 presidential contest has renewed calls for electoral reform, including the abolition or modification of the Electoral College. While abolition would require an amendment to the federal Constitution, some modifications could be made by states at any time. The modification that has received the most discussion is to count the electoral votes by the congressional districts. This method is currently used in Maine and Nebraska. This paper will review some of the potential impacts of such a proposal from the perspective of the 13 Presidential elections held over the period from 1952 to 2000.

The Data. First, a caveat about the data used for this analysis. The calculation of the results of the presidential election by congressional district is a major effort. For the districts following the 1990 Census, over four hundred counties contain portions of more than one congressional district. Whereas local election officials will obviously tally the Congressional vote by the portions of each district in their jurisdictions, they have no reason to expend the same degree of effort or care to the Presidential votes for these arcane collections of geographic units.² Likewise, most efforts to compile the results for this level of political geography are focused on the use of the data as an indicator of political behavior and thus timeliness is not as critical an element.

Yet, even before Michael Barone's publication of the first edition of the ALMANAC OF AMERICAN POLITICS in 1972, the Presidential Results by Congressional District had been a standard barometer for the base political behavior of a congressional district. This indicator is especially useful for several reasons, notably the personal nature of many congressional campaigns and the increasing degree to which congressional elections are in the main uncontested, a phenomena that only increases as each redistricting decade nears its own demise.

¹ Clark H. Bensen, B.A., J.D., consulting data analyst and attorney doing business as POLIDATA ® Polidata Data Analysis and a publisher of data volumes operating as POLIDATA ® Demographic and Political Guides. POLIDATA is a demographic and political research firm located outside Washington, D.C.

² Considering the number of splits precincts and centrally counted absentee votes, one can hardly blame the clerically oriented election official for avoiding this difficult task.

POLIDATA principal consultant, Clark Bensen, has been involved in the calculation of the Presidential Results by Congressional Districts since the 1984 elections, in conjunction with the RNC, while the Director of Political Analysis, and in conjunction with Congressional Quarterly for 1992 and 1996. Therefore, there is a district-level dataset developed by the same methodology for this period of time.

The first volume of the ALMANAC OF AMERICAN POLITICS series included the results for the 1968 election. District-level data for years before 1968 do exist, having been compiled by Dr. Maurice Prendergast for the RNC/NRCC, probably in conjunction with Congressional Quarterly, which had been compiling these results since at least the 1956 election. The Prendergast compilations, obviously undertaken before computer technology was prevalent, were a retrospective review of available sources. There are inconsistencies and missing cases in this data compilation. In addition, it was compiled mainly as a campaign aid to assist in targeting districts for resource allocation and may include results reconfigured for current districts not contemporaneous with each election. More research is needed to clarify the status of these data.

The Questions. Despite these inconsistencies in the available data, one can analyze the prospective impact of the proposed method to answer the following questions.

- 1) will the counting of votes in the Electoral College by congressional district improve the proportionality between the popular vote and the electoral vote?
- 2) would it have changed the result in any election?
- 3) what would be the impact on the Presidential nominees?

To address these questions, we need the presidential results for each district, as they existed at the time of the presidential election. Notwithstanding the caveats mentioned above, there appears to be a sufficient level of confidence in these datasets to undertake a national level analysis.

A few problems do exist to undertake any state-by-state analysis due to the inconsistencies in the district-level data for early elections. However, assuming a modification would mean ALL electoral votes were to be cast by the choice in each district, and not used to determine a winner-take-all for each state, this first-cut analysis, with these data counted in total, can be undertaken.

For this analysis, the presidential nominee collects one electoral vote for each congressional district carried by popular vote and two for each state carried. We can thus analyze the districts without regard to the state in which they were created. Note that the estimated results in many districts are very close.

The Results.

1) Improvement in Proportionality. The intuitive assumption for improvement in the proportionality of the electoral vote is that ANY population-based breakdown of the vote below

the state would improve the proportionality, i.e., reduce, the disparity. An improvement here means a lessening of the absolute difference between the nominee's percentage of the popular vote and the percentage of the electoral vote. As will be seen by a review of these data, it is generally a correct assumption that the breakdown of the electoral vote by congressional district, being now based upon some degree of population equality, will generally assist in improving, i.e., reducing, this disparity.

The following table summarizes the reduction in disparity for these thirteen elections from the perspective of the winning nominee.

Flaction	Winner %	Winner %	Winner %	Difference	Difference	Reduction
Liection	Pop. Vote	Method	Method	Method	Method	Disparity
1952 R	55.1	83.2	70.5	+28	+15	-13
1956 R	57.4	86.1	76.9	+29	+20	-9
1960 D	49.7	56.4	46.8	+7	-3	-4
1964 D	61.1	90.3	86.5	+29	+25	-4
1968 R	43.4	55.9	54.5	+12	+11	-1
1972 R	60.7	96.7	88.5	+36	+28	-8
1976 D	50.1	55.2	50.0	+5	-0	-5
1980 R	50.7	90.9	73.6	+40	+23	-17
1984 R	58.8	97.6	87.0	+39	+28	-11
1988 R	53.4	79.2	69.9	+26	+17	-9
1992 D	43.0	68.8	60.0	+26	+17	-9
1996 D	49.2	70.4	64.1	+21	+15	-6
2000 R	48.1	50.4	53.5	+2	+5	+3

As might be expected, the improvement is most pronounced in the most extreme cases. Likewise, the closer the race, the less the improvement. Considering that it was the 2000 presidential election that prompted the call for modification, <u>it is ironic that the 2000 election actually *increases* the disparity</u>. Using this modification in 2000 would have increased the disparity from a popular vote dead-heat to an Electoral College advantage for Republican George W. Bush.

2) Impact on Past Elections. For the twelve elections held from 1952 to 1996, it appears that this method could have changed the result in two presidential elections.

In 1960, another very close race in the popular vote tally, Nixon lost by 0.2% of the popular vote and by 219-303 in the Electoral College. The Nixon/Lodge ticket carried 227 districts and the Kennedy/Johnson ticket carried 204, with 3 districts in Mississippi going to "Unpledged Democrats". These districts, combined with the 26 states won by Nixon/Lodge, produces 279 electoral votes to 250 for Kennedy/Johnson, <u>a 29-vote margin for Nixon</u>.

In 1976, another very close contest, the Ford/Dole ticket lost by 2.1% of the popular vote and lost 240-297–1 in the Electoral College. Combining the 215 districts won by Ford with the 27 states carried would produce 269 electoral votes for Ford. Similarly, Carter/Mondale won more districts than Ford, at 221, but fewer states, at 24. This combination would have produced 269 electoral votes also, <u>a tie between Ford and Carter</u>. I haven't run the delegation numbers yet but it seems fairly obvious that the Democrats would have controlled more delegations in the House following the 1976 election. So, it is likely that Carter would have been elected anyway though a faithless elector could have (as indeed they did in 1976) voted for someone else and broken the tie, thus obviating the House vote.

In 2000, notwithstanding the Florida recount, it is fairly clear from preliminary data that under such a proposal Bush would have won the modified Electoral College vote. Based upon the latest numbers, Bush won 228 districts to 207 for Gore. These 228 votes, combined with the 30 states carried by Bush/Cheney would result in an electoral vote of 288 to 250. Such numbers would *increase* the difference in the Republican % of the electoral vote compared to the Republican % of the popular vote. *This would be the only election of the thirteen studied here in which the modified electoral vote would not reduce the disparity*. (See Chart 2).

An important caveat in any consideration here is that there was very little campaigning done by the presidential campaigns at the district level. Just as we know many voters stayed home in states where the presidential race was not competitive, (thereby affecting the national popular vote totals), it is certainly probable that if districts actually mattered, the results in many close districts could be changed through campaigning.

Of course, an even more important consideration is that the congressional districts were created for many reasons, none of which, (Maine and Nebraska notwithstanding), have included their ability to be considered an important component in a presidential election. In addition, the makeup of districts for each redistricting decade has varied greatly. The comparability over time thus suffers from this major factor.

3) Impact on the presidential nominee. Would such a proposal help or hurt the presidential nominee? And if so, would it matter? In the elections where the winning candidate, of either party, won the popular vote by a large margin, the impact would be significant in terms of reducing the winner's percentage of the electoral vote. However, in such cases the margin would be large enough to withstand any negative impact to the candidate. We review it from the perspective of the Republican nominee over time.

Classifying the thirteen elections into the following groups might assist in this review.

- 1) Landslides: in which the popular vote margin is over 20% (1964 and 1972)
- 2) Big Wins: in which the popular vote margin is over 8% (1952, 1956, 1980, 1984 and 1996)
- 3) Third Party Contests: in which a third party candidate receives more than 8% of the popular vote (1968, 1980, 1992 and 1996 again)

4) Close Contests: in which the popular vote margin is under 8% and there is no third party candidate receiving more than 5% (1960, 1976, 1988 and 2000)

The real potential for a problem lies in the close contests. Because of the state limitation on the electoral votes, any winner with a large popular vote margin gets an extra bonus percentage of the electoral vote. For example, in the 1964 landslide election, Johnson/Humphrey won 61% of the popular vote but 90% of the electoral vote. With the modification by district the ticket would have won only 86% of the electoral vote. The 1972 landslide election with Nixon/Agnew was almost the mirror image of this.

In the third party elections, that is, in 1968, 1980, 1992 and 1996, the winner's percentage of the electoral vote would have been negatively affected. In each of these cases, however, the result of the modified electoral vote would still be a significant cushion for the winner.

In close contests, it is harder to predict what the effect could be. As mentioned above, in 1960, Nixon could have won under such a scenario and in 1976 Ford might have won. In the other close contests, Bush 1988 (Bush I) was at the high end of this cutoff, and the impact would have been minimal due to the breadth of his support. In 2000, the impact would have been positive.

However, based upon the preliminary data for 2000, George W. Bush would still have won under the modified electoral vote without winning Florida. The loss of Florida would have been only a loss of -2 for the statewide electoral votes as the 13 Bush CDs would have been added to the Bush column under this method.

A Florida loss would have reduced the modified vote from an estimated 288-250 to 286-252. Bush would have lost nationally under the modified plan only by losing 17 more CDs or 9 more states or some combination thereof.

Caveat on Control of the Legislative Process:

An overriding concern with any modified plan is the control of the state legislative process in each state. This is a critical element of both making the initial changeover, safeguarding the change to be a permanent one, and in the implementation through decade by decade redistricting.

The control of the legislative process in many states where the most electoral votes could theoretically be gained is mixed. The "cherry-picking" of states where the legislative and/or redistricting process is controlled by a party other than the winner of the winner-take-all electoral vote could create a significant disadvantage to the Presidential nominee of either party.

Pro:

1. An improvement in the proportionality between the popular vote and the electoral vote. (Generally true, but see 2000.)

- 2. An improvement with a preservation of the importance of the federal scheme of government in the American Republic, i.e., small state vis-à-vis large state, compared to an outright abolition of the Electoral College.
- 3. A change in the campaign operation of any presidential campaign with a focus on grassroots organization versus mass media.
- 4. Increased interest on the part of voters in states that would not otherwise be contested at the state level, e.g., states that consistently vote one way for President but have districts that vote the opposite.
- 5. It could encourage the more equal distribution of every citizen's vote if votes were equalized across district. On the other hand, it could increase the gerrymandering of districts to assure the presidential vote.
- 6. Would encourage the elimination of the "faithless elector".
- 7. Can be accomplished without an amendment to the federal Constitution.

Con:

- 1. Could perpetuate the "rotten borough" problem due to the current jurisprudential focus on equality of population without regard to the distribution of voters amongst districts. In 2000, 50% of the members of the U.S. House in the districts with the fewest number of votes in the presidential election represented only 42% of the votes cast in the presidential election.
- 2. Would exacerbate the unequal voting weight that occurs over the decade by population shifts by locking-in voters in fast-growing suburban districts.
- 3. Could complicate the election process of determining the winner even in races that aren't very close on a national level.
- 4. The existence of split precincts and centrally counted absentee, or early ballots would require local election officials to a) revise their precinct boundaries to avoid precincts split by a congressional boundary line and b) allocate all absentee votes back to precincts. The presence of split precincts and centrally counted absentees could require more time than is available on election night to local officials. Much advance planning would be required to facilitate appropriate designation of all votes to districts and quick aggregation.
- 5. Whereas many congressional contests are not close, many results for President in districts are close. Even assuming split precincts are eliminated (which they must be), recounts in more districts would be inevitable. Over a dozen districts in 2000 were decided by less than 1% for President.

Pro or Con?

- **1.** The use of districts for the Electoral College would fundamentally alter the redistricting phase of the apportionment process.
- **2.** It would greatly lessen the impact large states have on the political process as blocs of winner-take-all units.
- **3.** It would greatly increase the importance of minor parties on elections in close, swing districts.

Summary. To review the questions asked at the outset:

- 1) *Q: will the counting of votes in the Electoral College by congressional district improve the proportionality between the popular vote and the electoral vote?* A: Yes, and No. In every case since 1952 except one (2000) a modified electoral vote would have reduced the disparity between the winner's percentage of the electoral vote and the popular vote. In 2000 it would have increased the disparity.
- 2) *Q: would it have changed the result in any election?* A: Yes, it might well have changed the results in 1960 and 1976, both close contests in which Republican nominees lost the popular vote. In 2000, it would have assured Bush a win even without winning the Florida recount.
- 3) *Q: what would be the impact on the Presidential nominees?* A: Historically it has been a mixed-bag vis-à-vis the effect on the nominees. Big winners would their electoral vote margins cut. The key ingredient to predicting the impact from 2004 onward is the general applicability (will it be nationwide or only in some states) and the degree to which the redistricting process gerrymanders voters and partisans into selected districts.

[I:\polidata\COMMENTS\wpr1c20b.doc~03/15/01 10:00 AM]

Electoral Vote by State and Congressional District, 1952-2000

An analysis recalculating electoral vote by a combination of the the winner in each state and district. One vote for each district, two votes for carrying the state (including DC after 1960). See Accompanying notes as to the Aggregate Status of this Initial Analysis.

1952	Popular Vo	te	Electoral	l Vote	States Ca	arried	Dsts. Ca	rried	Votes by State & C	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
GOP	33,936,234	55.1	442	83.2	39	81.3	292	68.1	370	70.5
Dem	27,314,992	44.4	89	16.8	9	18.8	137	31.9	155	29.5
Oth	299,692	0.5	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	61,550,918		531		48		429		525	
GOP Adv	<u>6,621,242</u>	<u>10.8</u>	<u>353</u>	<u>66.5</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>62.5</u>	<u>155</u>	<u>36.1</u>	<u>215</u>	<u>41.0</u>
	GOP # a	ind % o	f Electoral	Vote by	y States:	442	83.2			
GOP	# and % of Ele	ctoral V	∕ote by Sta	tes & D	istricts:	370	70.5			
	Net Differ	rence by	y using Sta	ites & E	<i>istricts:</i>	-72	-12.8	-15.3	(Relative Di	fference)
1956	Popular Vo	ote	Electoral	Vote	States Carried		Dsts. Ca	rried	Votes by St	ate & CD
	- #	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	· #	%
GOP	35,590,472	57.4	457	86.1	41	85.4	323	74.9	405	76.9
Dem	26,022,752	42.0	73	13.7	7	14.6	106	24.6	120	22.8
Oth	413,684	0.7	1	0.2	0	0.0	2	0.5	2	0.4
Total	62,026,908		531		48		431		527	
GOP Adv	<u>9,567,720</u>	<u>15.4</u>	<u>384</u>	<u>72.3</u>	<u>34</u>	<u>70.8</u>	<u>217</u>	<u>50.3</u>	<u>285</u>	<u>54.1</u>
	GOP # a	ind % o	f Electoral	Vote by	/ States:	457	86.1			
GOP	# and % of Ele	ctoral V	ote by Sta	tes & D	istricts:	405	76.9			
	Net Differ	rence by	y using Sta	ites & E	<i>istricts:</i>	-52	-9.2	-10.7	(Relative Di	fference)
	Popular Vote		Electoral Vote		States Carried		Dsts. Carried		Votes by State & CD	
1960	Popular Vo	te	Electoral	l Vote	States Ca	arried	Dsts. Ca	rried	Votes by St	ate & CD
1960	Popular Vo #	ote %	Electoral #	l Vote %	States Ca #	arried %	Dsts. Ca #	rried %	Votes by St #	ate & CD %
1960 GOP	Popular Vo # 34,108,157	ote % 49.5	Electoral # 219	l Vote % 40.8	States Ca # 26	arried % 52.0	Dsts. Ca # 227	rried % 52.3	Votes by St # 279	rate & CD % 52.2
1960 GOP Dem	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731	ote % 49.5 49.7	Electoral # 219 303	Vote % 40.8 56.4	States Ca # 26 23	arried % 52.0 46.0	Dsts. Ca # 227 204	rried % 52.3 47.0	Votes by St # 279 250	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8
1960 GOP Dem Oth	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331	ote % 49.5 49.7 0.7	Electoral # 219 303 15	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8	States Ca # 26 23 1	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3	rried % 52.3 47.0 0.7	Votes by St # 279 250 5	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219	ote <u>%</u> 49.5 49.7 0.7	Electoral # 219 303 15 537	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8	States Ca # 26 23 1 50	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434	rried % 52.3 47.0 0.7	Votes by St # 279 250 5 534	rate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u>	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u>	•te <u>49.5</u> <u>49.7</u> 0.7 <u>-0.2</u>	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 - <u>84</u>	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 -15.6	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u>	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 <u>6.0</u>	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 <u>23</u>	rried % 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u>	Votes by St # 279 250 5 534 <u>29</u>	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 5 <u>.4</u>
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u>	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> GOP # a	vte <u>49.5</u> 49.7 0.7 <u>-0.2</u> vnd % o	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> f Electoral	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 <u>-15.6</u> Vote by	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States:	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 <u>6.0</u> 219	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 <u>23</u> 40.8	rried <u>%</u> 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u>	Votes by St # 279 250 5 534 <u>29</u>	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 5 <u>.4</u>
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u>	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> GOP # a # and % of Elev	vte <u>%</u> 49.5 49.7 0.7 <u>-0.2</u> vnd % o ctoral V	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> f Electoral Vote by Sta	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 <u>-15.6</u> Vote by tes & D	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States: //states:	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 <u>6.0</u> 219 279	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 <u>23</u> 40.8 52.2	rried 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u>	Votes by St # 279 250 5 534 <u>29</u>	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 <u>5.4</u>
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u>	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec Net Differ	vte <u>%</u> 49.5 49.7 0.7 <u>-0.2</u> vnd % o ctoral V rence by	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 <u>-15.6</u> Vote by ites & D ites & D	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States: Districts:	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 6.0 219 279 60	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 <u>23</u> 40.8 52.2 11.5	rried % 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u> 28.1	Votes by St # 279 250 5 534 <u>29</u> (Relative Di	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 <u>5.4</u> (ference)
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> <i>GOP</i> 1964	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec Net Differ Popular Vo	rte <u>%</u> 49.5 49.7 0.7 <u>-0.2</u> und % o ctoral V rence by rence by	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> of Electoral ote by Sta using Sta Electoral	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 <u>-15.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: Districts:	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 <u>6.0</u> 219 279 60 arried	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 <u>23</u> 40.8 52.2 11.5 Dsts. Ca	rried 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u> 28.1 rried	Votes by St # 279 250 5 534 <u>29</u> (Relative Di	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 <u>5.4</u> fference) ate & CD
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> <i>GOP</i> 1964	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec Net Differ Popular Vo #	rte <u>%</u> 49.5 49.7 0.7 <u>-0.2</u> tind % of ctoral V <u>cence by</u> te %	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> of Electoral ote by Sta using Sta Electoral #	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 <u>-15.6</u> Vote butes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & W	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: States Ca #	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 <u>6.0</u> 219 279 60 arried %	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 <u>23</u> 40.8 52.2 11.5 Dsts. Ca #	rried 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u> 28.1 rried %	Votes by St # 279 250 5 334 <u>29</u> (Relative Di Votes by St #	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 <u>5.4</u> fference) ate & CD %
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> <i>GOP</i> 1964 GOP	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> GOP # a # and % of Elect Net Differ Popular Vo # 27,178,188	nte % 49.5 49.7 0.7 <u>-0.2</u> ind % of ctoral V rence by te % 38.5	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> of Electoral ote by Sta using Sta Electoral # 52	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 - <u>15.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 6	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 6.0 219 279 60 arried % 11.8	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 <u>23</u> 40.8 52.2 11.5 Dsts. Ca # 60	rried 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u> 28.1 rried % 13.9	Votes by St # 279 250 5 334 <u>29</u> (Relative Di Votes by St # 72	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 5 <u>.4</u> fference) ate & CD % 13.5
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv GOP 1964 GOP Dem	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> GOP # a # and % of Ele Net Differ Popular Vo # 27,178,188 43,129,566	rence by 19.5 49.5 49.7 0.7 <u>-0.2</u> 100 % of 100 % 100 %	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> of Electoral ote by Sta using Sta Using Sta Electoral # 52 486	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 <u>-15.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D 1 Vote 9.7 90.3	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 6 45	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 <u>6.0</u> 219 279 60 arried % 11.8 88.2	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 <u>23</u> 40.8 52.2 11.5 Dsts. Ca # 60 371	rried % 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u> 28.1 rried % 13.9 86.1	Votes by St # 279 250 5 334 <u>29</u> (Relative Di Votes by St # 72 461	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 <u>5.4</u> fference) ate & CD % 13.5 86.5
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv <i>GOP</i> 1964 GOP Dem Oth	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> <i>GOP</i> # <i>a</i> # and % of Elect <i>Net Differ</i> Popular Vo # 27,178,188 43,129,566 336,838	nte <u>%</u> 49.5 49.7 0.7 <u>-0.2</u> und % of ctoral V rence by rence by ste <u>%</u> 38.5 61.1 0.5	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta Electoral # 52 486 0	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 - <u>15.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D tote % 9.7 90.3 0.0	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 6 45 0	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 6.0 219 279 60 arried % 11.8 88.2 0.0	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 23 40.8 52.2 11.5 Dsts. Ca # 60 371 0	rried % 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u> 28.1 rried % 13.9 86.1 0.0	Votes by St # 279 250 5 334 29 (Relative Di Votes by St # 72 461 0	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 5 <u>.4</u> (fference) ate & CD % 13.5 86.5 0.0
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv <i>GOP</i> 1964 GOP Dem Oth Total	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec Net Differ Popular Vo # 27,178,188 43,129,566 336,838 70,644,592	nte <u>49.5</u> 49.7 0.7 <u>-0.2</u> und % o ctoral W rence by nte <u>%</u> 38.5 61.1 0.5	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> <i>f Electoral</i> <i>/ using Sta</i> Electoral # 52 486 0 538	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 - <u>15.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D Vote % 9.7 90.3 0.0	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 6 45 0 51	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 6.0 219 279 60 279 60 arried % 11.8 88.2 0.0	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 <u>23</u> 40.8 52.2 11.5 Dsts. Ca # 60 371 0 431	rried 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u> 28.1 rried % 13.9 86.1 0.0	Votes by St # 279 250 5 334 <u>29</u> (Relative Di Votes by St # 72 461 0 533	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 5 <u>.4</u> fference) ate & CD % 13.5 86.5 0.0
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv 1964 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> GOP # a # and % of Ele Net Differ Popular Vo # 27,178,188 43,129,566 336,838 70,644,592 -15,951,378	reaction of the term of term o	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> of Electoral ote by Sta using Sta Electoral # 52 486 0 538 <u>-434</u>	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 <u>-15.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D 1 Vote 9.7 90.3 0.0 .00	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 6 45 0 51 -39	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 <u>6.0</u> 219 279 60 arried % 11.8 88.2 0.0 -76.5	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 <u>23</u> 40.8 52.2 11.5 Dsts. Ca # 60 371 0 431 -311	rried % 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u> 28.1 rried % 13.9 86.1 0.0 -72.2	Votes by St # 279 250 5 334 29 (Relative Di Votes by St # 72 461 0 533 -389	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 <u>5.4</u> fference) ate & CD % 13.5 86.5 0.0 -73.0
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv 1964 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> <i>GOP # a</i> # and % of Elec <i>Net Differ</i> Popular Vo # 27,178,188 43,129,566 336,838 70,644,592 <u>-15,951,378</u>	nte <u>%</u> 49.5 49.7 0.7 <u>-0.2</u> und % o ctoral V rence by rence by ste <u>%</u> 38.5 61.1 0.5 <u>-22.6</u> und % o	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> of Electoral 7 ote by Sta 7 using Sta 6 Electoral # 52 486 0 538 -434	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 -15.6 Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D 1 Vote % 9.7 90.3 0.0 -80.7 Vote by Vote by Vote by 9.7	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 6 45 0 51 -39 / States:	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 6.0 219 279 60 arried % 11.8 88.2 0.0 <u>-76.5</u> 52	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 23 40.8 52.2 11.5 Dsts. Ca # 60 371 0 431 -311 9.7	rried % 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u> 28.1 rried % 13.9 86.1 0.0 <u>-72.2</u>	Votes by St # 279 250 5 334 29 (Relative Di Votes by St # 72 461 0 533 -389	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 5 <u>.4</u> (fference) ate & CD % 13.5 86.5 0.0 -73.0
1960 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv 1964 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv <i>GOP</i> Adv	Popular Vo # 34,108,157 34,226,731 503,331 68,838,219 <u>-118,574</u> <i>GOP # a</i> # and % of Ele <i>Net Differ</i> Popular Vo # 27,178,188 43,129,566 336,838 70,644,592 <u>-15,951,378</u> <i>GOP # a</i> # and % of Ele	whe % 49.5 49.7 0.7 0.7 -0.2 0.7 und % o 0 ctoral V 0 38.5 61.1 0.5 -22.6 und % o 0 ctoral V 0.5	Electoral # 219 303 15 537 <u>-84</u> <i>f Electoral</i> 70te by Sta 9 Electoral 486 0 538 <u>-434</u> <i>f Electoral</i> 70te by Sta	Vote % 40.8 56.4 2.8 - <u>15.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D Vote by 90.3 0.0 - <u>80.7</u> Vote by tes & D	States Ca # 26 23 1 50 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 6 45 0 51 <u>-39</u> / States: Districts:	arried % 52.0 46.0 2.0 219 279 60 279 60 arried % 11.8 88.2 0.0 11.8 88.2 0.0 52 52 72	Dsts. Ca # 227 204 3 434 <u>23</u> 40.8 52.2 11.5 Dsts. Ca # 60 371 0 431 <u>-311</u> 9.7 13.5	rried 52.3 47.0 0.7 <u>5.3</u> 28.1 rried % 13.9 86.1 0.0 <u>-72.2</u>	Votes by St # 279 250 5 334 <u>29</u> (Relative Di Votes by St # 72 461 0 533 <u>-389</u>	ate & CD % 52.2 46.8 0.9 5 <u>.4</u> (fference) ate & CD % 13.5 86.5 0.0 - <u>73.0</u>

1968	Popular Vote		Electoral Vote		States Ca	States Carried		Dsts. Carried		Votes by State & CD	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
GOP	31,785,480	43.4	301	55.9	32	62.7	229	52.5	293	54.5	
Dem	31,275,166	42.7	191	35.5	14	27.5	161	36.9	189	35.1	
Oth	10,151,229	13.9	46	8.6	5	9.8	46	10.6	56	10.4	
Total	73,211,875		538		51		436		538		
GOP Adv	<u>510,314</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>110</u>	<u>20.4</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>35.3</u>	<u>68</u>	<u>15.6</u>	<u>104</u>	<u>19.3</u>	
	GOP # a	ind % o	of Electoral	Vote by	j States:	301	55.9				
GOP	GOP # and % of Electoral V			∕ote by States & D		<i>Districts:</i> 293					
	Net Differ	rence by	j using Sta	tes & D)istricts:	-8	-1.5	-2.7	(Relative Dif	fference)	
1972	Popular Vo	ote	Electoral Vote		States Carried		Dsts. Carried		Votes by State & CD		
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	
GOP	47,169,911	60.7	520	96.7	49	96.1	378	86.7	476	88.5	
Dem	29,170,383	37.5	17	3.2	2	3.9	58	13.3	62	11.5	
Oth	1,378,260	1.8	1	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total	77,718,554		538		51		436		538		
<u>GOP Adv</u>	<u>17,999,528</u>	23.2	<u>503</u>	<u>93.5</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>92.2</u>	<u>320</u>	73.4	<u>414</u>	<u>77.0</u>	
	GOP # a	ind % c	of Electoral	Vote by	j States:	520	96.7				
GOP	# and % of Ele	ctoral V	∙ ∕ote by Sta	tes & D	istricts:	476	88.5				
	Net Difference by		using States & Districts: -44			-8.2	-8.5	(Relative Dif	ference)		
	55				· · · · ·						
1976	Popular Vo	te	Electoral	Vote	States Ca	arried	Dsts. Ca	rried	Votes by St	ate & CD	
1976	Popular Vo #	nte %	Electoral #	Vote %	States Ca #	arried %	Dsts. Ca #	rried %	Votes by St #	ate & CD %	
1976 GOP	Popular Vo # 39,147,793	ote % 48.0	Electoral # 240	Vote % 44.6	States Ca # 27	arried % 52.9	Dsts. Ca # 215	rried % 49.3	Votes by St # 269	ate & CD % 50.0	
1976 GOP Dem	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763	ote % 48.0 50.1	Electoral # 240 297	Vote % 44.6 55.2	States Ca # 27 24	arried % 52.9 47.1	Dsts. Ca # 215 221	rried % 49.3 50.7	Votes by St # 269 269	ate & CD % 50.0 50.0	
1976 GOP Dem Oth	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333	ote % 48.0 50.1 1.9	Electoral # 240 297 1	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2	States Ca # 27 24 0	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0	rried % 49.3 50.7 0.0	Votes by St # 269 269 0	ate & CD % 50.0 50.0 0.0	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889	ote <u>%</u> 48.0 50.1 1.9	Electoral # 240 297 1 538	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2	States C # 27 24 0 51	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0	Votes by St # 269 269 0 538	ate & CD % 50.0 50.0 0.0	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u>	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 -1,682,970	ote % 48.0 50.1 1.9 -2.1	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 -57	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 -10.6	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 3	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 <u>5.9</u>	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u>	rried 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u>	Votes by St # 269 269 0 538 <u>0</u>	ate & CD % 50.0 50.0 0.0 0.0	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u>	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a	ote <u>48.0</u> 50.1 1.9 <u>-2.1</u> und % o	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> f Electoral	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 <u>-10.6</u> Vote by	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 <u>3</u> / States:	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 <u>5.9</u> 240	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u> 44.6	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u>	Votes by St # 269 269 0 538 <u>0</u>	ate & CD % 50.0 50.0 0.0 <u>0.0</u>	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total GOP Adv GOP	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec	ote % 48.0 50.1 1.9 <u>-2.1</u> und % o ctoral V	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> of Electoral ⁷ ote by Sta	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 <u>-10.6</u> Vote by tes & D	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 <u>3</u> / States: //states:	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 <u>5.9</u> 240 269	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u> 44.6 50.0	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u>	Votes by St # 269 0 538 <u>0</u>	ate & CD % 50.0 50.0 0.0 0.0	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> <i>GOP</i>	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec Net Differ	% 48.0 50.1 1.9 -2.1 und % o ctoral V rence by	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> of Electoral ⁷ ote by Sta 1 using Sta	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 <u>-10.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 <u>3</u> / States: Vistricts: Districts:	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 5.9 240 269 29	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u> 44.6 50.0 5.4	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u> 12.1	Votes by St # 269 0 538 <u>0</u> (Relative Dif	ate & CD % 50.0 0.0 0.0 <u>0.0</u>	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> GOP 1980	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec Net Differ Popular Vo	% 48.0 50.1 1.9 -2.1 ind % o ctoral V rence by rete	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> of Electoral 7 ote by Sta 7 using Sta	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 -10.6 Vote by tes & D tes & D	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 3 / States: Vistricts: Vistricts: States Ca	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 5.9 240 269 29 vrried	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u> 44.6 50.0 5.4 Dsts. Ca	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u> <u>12.1</u> rried	Votes by St # 269 0 538 <u>0</u> (Relative Dif	ate & CD % 50.0 0.0 0.0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0 .0	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> GOP 1980	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a # and % of Ele. Net Differ Popular Vo #	whe % 48.0 50.1 50.1 1.9 -2.1 1nd % o ctoral V rence by ite %	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta Electoral #	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 - <u>10.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D Vote %	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 <u>3</u> / States: /istricts:)istricts: States Ca #	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 5.9 240 269 29 urried %	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u> 44.6 50.0 5.4 Dsts. Ca #	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u> 12.1 rried <u>%</u>	Votes by St # 269 0 538 <u>0</u> (Relative Dif Votes by St #	ate & CD % 50.0 0.0 0.0 <u>0.0</u> :ference) ate & CD	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> GOP	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec Net Differ Popular Vo # 43,904,153	% % 48.0 50.1 1.9 -2.1 und % o ctoral V rence by ite % 50.7	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> of Electoral 7 ote by Sta 7 using Sta Electoral # 489	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 -10.6 Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D Vote % 90.9	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 3 / States: vistricts: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 44	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 5.9 240 269 29 arried % 86.3	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 -6 44.6 50.0 5.4 Dsts. Ca # 308	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u> 12.1 rried <u>%</u> 70.6	Votes by St # 269 0 538 <u>0</u> (Relative Dif Votes by St # 396	ate & CD % 50.0 0.0 0.0 (0.0) (fference) ate & CD % 73.6	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> GOP 1980	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec Net Differ Popular Vo # 43,904,153 35,483,883	% % 48.0 50.1 1.9 <u>-2.1</u> ind % o ctoral V rence by inte % 50.7 41.0	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> of Electoral 7 ote by Sta 7 using Sta 9 using Sta 489 489 49	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 - <u>10.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & 1 Vote % 90.9 9.1	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 44 7	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 <u>5.9</u> 240 269 29 arried % 86.3 13.7	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u> 44.6 50.0 5.4 Dsts. Ca # 308 128	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u> 12.1 rried <u>%</u> 70.6 29.4	Votes by St # 269 0 538 <u>0</u> (Relative Dif Votes by St # 396 142	ate & CD % 50.0 0.0 0.0 (0.0) (ference) ate & CD % 73.6 26.4	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> GOP 1980 GOP Dem Oth	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a # and % of Ele. Net Differ Popular Vo # 43,904,153 35,483,883 7,127,185	where % 48.0 50.1 50.1 1.9 -2.1 1.04 % ctoral V rence by bite % 50.7 41.0 8.2	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta Electoral # 489 49 0	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 - <u>10.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D vote 90.9 90.9 9.1 0.0	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 <u>3</u> / States: /istricts:)istricts: States Ca # 44 7 0	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 5.9 240 269 29 arried % 86.3 13.7 0.0	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u> 44.6 50.0 5.4 Dsts. Ca # 308 128 0	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u> 12.1 rried <u>%</u> 70.6 29.4 0.0	Votes by St # 269 0 538 <u>0</u> (Relative Dif Votes by St # 396 142 0	ate & CD % 50.0 0.0 0.0 <u>0.0</u> (fference) ate & CD % 73.6 26.4 0.0	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv <i>GOP</i> 1980 GOP Dem Oth Total	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec Net Differ Popular Vo # 43,904,153 35,483,883 7,127,185 86,515,221	% % 48.0 50.1 1.9 -2.1 ind % c ctoral V rence by vte % 50.7 41.0 8.2	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> of Electoral 7 ote by Sta 7 using Sta Electoral # 489 49 0 538	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 -10.6 Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D Vote 90.9 9.1 0.0	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 44 7 0 51	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 5.9 240 269 29 240 269 29 240 86.3 13.7 0.0	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u> 44.6 50.0 5.4 Dsts. Ca # 308 128 0 436	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u> 12.1 rried <u>%</u> 70.6 29.4 0.0	Votes by St # 269 0 538 <u>0</u> (Relative Dif Votes by St # 396 142 0 538	ate & CD % 50.0 0.0 0.0 ().0 ().0 ().0 ().0 ().0 ().	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv 1980 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec Net Differ Popular Vo # 43,904,153 35,483,883 7,127,185 86,515,221 <u>8,420,270</u>	hte % 48.0 50.1 1.9 <u>-2.1</u> ind % c ctoral V rence by rence by fte % 50.7 41.0 8.2 <u>9.7</u>	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> of Electoral 7 ote by Sta 7 using Sta 1 using Sta 489 49 0 538 440	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 - <u>10.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D vote S & D vote % 90.9 9.1 0.0 <u>81.8</u>	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 <u>3</u> <i>istricts:</i> <i>istricts:</i> <i>istricts:</i> <i>istricts:</i> <i>States Ca</i> # 44 7 0 51 37	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 <u>5.9</u> 240 269 29 arried % 86.3 13.7 0.0 <u>72.5</u>	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u> 44.6 50.0 5.4 Dsts. Ca # 308 128 0 436 180	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u> 12.1 rried <u>%</u> 70.6 29.4 0.0 <u>41.3</u>	Votes by St # 269 0 538 <u>0</u> (Relative Dif Votes by St # 396 142 0 538 254	ate & CD % 50.0 0.0 0.0 (0.0)	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv 1980 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a # and % of Ele. Net Differ Popular Vo # 43,904,153 35,483,883 7,127,185 86,515,221 <u>8,420,270</u> GOP # a	hte % 48.0 50.1 1.9 <u>-2.1</u> ind % c ctoral V rence by te % 50.7 41.0 8.2 <u>9.7</u> und % c	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta / using Sta Electoral # 489 49 0 538 440	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 - <u>10.6</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D vote by 90.9 90.9 90.9 9.1 0.0 <u>81.8</u> Vote by	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 <u>3</u> / States: Districts: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 44 7 0 51 37 / States:	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 <u>5.9</u> 240 269 29 240 269 29 29 240 269 29 27 377 86.3 13.7 0.0 72.5 489	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u> 44.6 50.0 5.4 Dsts. Ca # 308 128 0 436 180 90.9	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u> 12.1 rried <u>%</u> 70.6 29.4 0.0 <u>41.3</u>	Votes by St # 269 0 538 <u>0</u> (Relative Dif Votes by St # 396 142 0 538 <u>254</u>	ate & CD % 50.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 0.0 fference) ate & CD % 73.6 26.4 0.0 47.2	
1976 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv GOP 1980 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv <i>GOP</i>	Popular Vo # 39,147,793 40,830,763 1,577,333 81,555,889 <u>-1,682,970</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec Net Differ Popular Vo # 43,904,153 35,483,883 7,127,185 86,515,221 <u>8,420,270</u> GOP # a # and % of Elec	% % 48.0 50.1 1.9 -2.1 ind % c ctoral V rence by rence by 50.7 41.0 8.2 9.7 ind % c ctoral V	Electoral # 240 297 1 538 <u>-57</u> of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta	Vote % 44.6 55.2 0.2 -10.6 Vote by tes & D tes & D vote by 90.9 9.1 0.0 <u>81.8</u> Vote by tes & D	States Ca # 27 24 0 51 <u>3</u> <i>istricts:</i> <i>istricts:</i> <i>istricts:</i> States Ca # 44 7 0 51 37 <i>istricts:</i> <i>istricts:</i>	arried % 52.9 47.1 0.0 <u>5.9</u> 240 269 29 arried % 86.3 13.7 0.0 <u>72.5</u> 489 396	Dsts. Ca # 215 221 0 436 <u>-6</u> 44.6 50.0 5.4 Dsts. Ca # 308 128 0 436 180 90.9 73.6	rried <u>%</u> 49.3 50.7 0.0 <u>-1.4</u> 12.1 rried <u>%</u> 70.6 29.4 0.0 <u>41.3</u>	Votes by St # 269 0 538 <u>0</u> (Relative Dif Votes by St # 396 142 0 538 254	ate & CD % 50.0 0.0 0.0 ().0 ().0 ().0 ().0 ().0 ().	

1984	Popular Vote		Electoral Vote		States Ca	States Carried		Dsts. Carried		Votes by State & CD	
	- #	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	- #	%	
GOP	54,455,075	58.8	525	97.6	49	96.1	370	84.9	468	87.0	
Dem	37,577,185	40.6	13	2.4	2	3.9	66	15.1	70	13.0	
Oth	620,582	0.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total	92,652,842		538		51		436		538		
GOP Adv	<u>16,877,890</u>	<u>18.2</u>	<u>512</u>	<u>95.2</u>	<u>47</u>	<u>92.2</u>	<u>304</u>	<u>69.7</u>	<u>398</u>	<u>74.0</u>	
	GOP # a	and % c	of Electoral	Vote by	y States:	525	97.6				
GOP	• # and % of Ele	ctoral V	∕ote by Sta	tes & D	istricts:	468	87.0				
	Net Diffe	rence by	y using Sta	ites & E	<i>istricts:</i>	-57	-10.6	-10.9	(Relative Di	fference)	
1988	Popular Vo	ote	Electoral Vote		States Carried		Dsts. Ca	rried	Votes by St	ate & CD	
	- #	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	· #	%	
GOP	48,886,097	53.4	426	79.2	40	78.4	296	67.9	376	69.9	
Dem	41,809,074	45.6	111	20.6	11	21.6	140	32.1	162	30.1	
Oth	899,638	1.0	1	0.2	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	
Total	91,594,809		538		51		436		538		
GOP Adv	<u>7,077,023</u>	<u>7.7</u>	<u>315</u>	<u>58.6</u>	<u>29</u>	<u>56.9</u>	<u>156</u>	<u>35.8</u>	<u>214</u>	<u>39.8</u>	
	GOP # a	and % c	of Electoral	Vote by	y States:	426	79.2				
GOP	• # and % of Ele	ctoral V	/ote by Sta	tes & D	istricts:	376	69.9				
	Net Diffe	rence by	y using Sta	ites & E	<i>istricts:</i>	-50	-9.3	-11.7	(Relative Di	fference)	
1		-	÷								
1992	Popular Vo	ote	Electora	l Vote	States Ca	arried	Dsts. Ca	rried	Votes by St	ate & CD	
1992	Popular Vo #	ote %	Electoral #	l Vote %	States Ca #	arried %	Dsts. Ca #	rried %	Votes by St #	ate & CD %	
1992 GOP	Popular Vo # 39,103,882	ote % 37.4	Electoral # 168	l Vote % 31.2	States Ca # 18	arried % 35.3	Dsts. Ca # 179	rried % 41.1	Votes by St # 215	ate & CD % 40.0	
1992 GOP Dem	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326	ote % 37.4 43.0	Electora # 168 370	Vote % 31.2 68.8	States Ca # 18 33	arried % 35.3 64.7	Dsts. Ca # 179 257	arried % 41.1 58.9	Votes by St # 215 323	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0	
1992 GOP Dem Oth	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806	ote % 37.4 43.0 19.5	Electoral # 168 370 0	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0	States Ca # 18 33 0	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0	rried % 41.1 58.9 0.0	Votes by St # 215 323 0	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014	ote 37.4 43.0 19.5	Electoral # 168 370 0 538	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0	States Ca # 18 33 0 51	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436	urried <u>%</u> 41.1 58.9 0.0	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u>	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 -5,805,444	ote % 37.4 43.0 19.5 <u>-5.6</u>	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 -37.5	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 <u>-15</u>	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 -29.4	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u>	rried % 41.1 58.9 0.0 - <u>17.9</u>	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 <u>-108</u>	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u>	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 <u>-5,805,444</u> GOP # a	ote <u>%</u> 37.4 43.0 19.5 <u>-5.6</u> und % c	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 <u>-202</u> f Electoral	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 <u>-37.5</u> Vote by	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 <u>-15</u> / States:	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 -29.4 168	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u> 31.2	rried % 41.1 58.9 0.0 -17.9	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 <u>-108</u>	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u>	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 -5,805,444 GOP # a COP # a	tte % 37.4 43.0 19.5 <u>-5.6</u> und % c ctoral V	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202 of Electoral Zote by Sta	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 <u>-37.5</u> Vote by tes & D	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 -15 / States: / States:	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 - <u>29.4</u> 168 215	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u> 31.2 40.0	rried % 41.1 58.9 0.0 - <u>17.9</u>	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 <u>-108</u>	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u>	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 <u>-5,805,444</u> GOP # a P # and % of Ele Net Differ	tte % 37.4 43.0 19.5 <u>-5.6</u> and % c ctoral V rence by	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202 of Electoral /ote by Stat / using Stat	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 <u>-37.5</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 - <u>15</u> / States: Districts:	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 - <u>29.4</u> 168 215 47	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u> 31.2 40.0 8.7	rried <u>%</u> 41.1 58.9 0.0 <u>-17.9</u> 28.0	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 <u>-108</u> (Relative Di	fference)	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> GOF 1996	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 -5,805,444 GOP # a GOP # a P # and % of Ele Net Differ Popular Vo	tte % 37.4 43.0 19.5 <u>-5.6</u> und % c ctoral V rence by	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202 of Electoral f Electoral f using State Electoral	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 - <u>37.5</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 -15 / States: //states: Districts: Districts:	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 -29.4 168 215 47 arried	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u> 31.2 40.0 8.7 Dsts. Ca	rried <u>%</u> 41.1 58.9 0.0 <u>-17.9</u> 28.0 rried	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 <u>-108</u> (Relative Di Votes by St	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1 fference)	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> <i>GOF</i> 1996	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 - <u>5,805,444</u> GOP # a P # and % of Ele Net Differ Popular Vo #	nte <u>%</u> 37.4 43.0 19.5 <u>-5.6</u> and % c ctoral V rence by rence by the %	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202 of Electoral 7 ote by Sta 7 using Sta Electoral #	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 <u>-37.5</u> Vote by ites & D ites & D ites & D ites & W	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 <u>-15</u> / States: Districts: Districts: States Ca #	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 -29.4 168 215 47 arried %	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u> 31.2 40.0 8.7 Dsts. Ca #	rried <u>%</u> 41.1 58.9 0.0 <u>-17.9</u> 28.0 rried %	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 <u>-108</u> (Relative Di Votes by St #	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1 fference)	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> GOP	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 -5,805,444 GOP # a GOP # a P # and % of Ele Net Differ Popular Vo # 39,198,755	hte % 37.4 43.0 19.5 -5.6 ind % c ctoral V rence by te % 40.7	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202 of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta Electoral Electoral	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 - <u>37.5</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D tes & D	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 -15 / States: Districts: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 19	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 -29.4 168 215 47 arried % 37.3	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 -78 31.2 40.0 8.7 Dsts. Ca # 155	rried % 41.1 58.9 0.0 -17.9 28.0 rried % 35.6	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 -108 (Relative Di Votes by St # 193	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1 fference) tate & CD % 35.9	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> <i>GOP</i> Dem	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 -5,805,444 GOP # a ? # and % of Ele Net Differ Popular Vo # 39,198,755 47,402,357	where % 37.4 43.0 19.5	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202 of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta / using Sta Electoral # 159 379	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 - <u>37.5</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 <u>-15</u> / States: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 19 32	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 -29.4 168 215 47 arried % 37.3 62.7	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u> 31.2 40.0 8.7 Dsts. Ca # 155 281	rried % 41.1 58.9 0.0 - <u>17.9</u> 28.0 rried % 35.6 64.4	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 <u>-108</u> (Relative Di Votes by St # 193 345	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1 fference) tate & CD % 35.9 64.1	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> <i>GOP</i> Dem Oth	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 - <u>5,805,444</u> <i>GOP # a</i> <i>P # and % of Ele</i> <i>Net Differ</i> Popular Vo # 39,198,755 47,402,357 9,676,760	nte <u>%</u> 37.4 43.0 19.5 <u>-5.6</u> md % cc cctoral W rence by te <u>%</u> 40.7 49.2 10.1	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202 of Electoral / ote by Sta / using Sta Electoral # 159 379 0	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 - <u>37.5</u> Vote by ites & D ites & D it	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 <u>-15</u> / States: Districts: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 19 32 0	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 -29.4 168 215 47 arried % 37.3 62.7 0.0	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u> 31.2 40.0 8.7 Dsts. Ca # 155 281 0	rried <u>%</u> 41.1 58.9 0.0 <u>-17.9</u> 28.0 rried <u>%</u> 35.6 64.4 0.0	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 <u>-108</u> (Relative Di Votes by St # 193 345 0	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1 fference) tate & CD % 35.9 64.1 0.0	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP Adv</u> <i>GOP</i> 1996 GOP Dem Oth Total	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 -5,805,444 GOP # a ? # and % of Ele Net Differ 9 and % of Ele Net Differ 39,198,755 47,402,357 9,676,760 96,277,872	nte <u>%</u> 37.4 43.0 19.5 <u>-5.6</u> 10.7 40.7 49.2 10.1	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202 of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta Electoral # 159 379 0 538	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 - <u>37.5</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D t	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 <u>-15</u> <i>/ States:</i> <i>/ States:</i> <i>/ States Ca</i> # 19 32 0 51	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 -29.4 168 215 47 arried % 37.3 62.7 0.0	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u> 31.2 40.0 8.7 Dsts. Ca # 155 281 0 436	rried <u>%</u> 41.1 58.9 0.0 <u>-17.9</u> 28.0 rried <u>%</u> 35.6 64.4 0.0	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 -108 (Relative Di Votes by St # 193 345 0 538	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1 fference) tate & CD % 35.9 64.1 0.0	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total GOP Adv GOP Dem Oth Total GOP Adv	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 - <u>5,805,444</u> <i>GOP # a</i> <i>Correct Correct Corr</i>	tte % 37.4 43.0 19.5 <u>-5.6</u> und % c ctoral V rence by tte % 40.7 49.2 10.1 <u>-8.5</u>	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202 of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta Electoral 159 379 0 538 -220	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 - <u>37.5</u> Vote by ttes & D ttes & D ttes & D ttes & D vote % 29.6 70.4 0.0 -40.9	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 <u>-15</u> / States: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 19 32 0 51 _13	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 -29.4 168 215 47 arried % 37.3 62.7 0.0 -25.5	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u> 31.2 40.0 8.7 Dsts. Ca # 155 281 0 436 <u>-126</u>	rried <u>%</u> 41.1 58.9 0.0 <u>-17.9</u> 28.0 rried <u>%</u> 35.6 64.4 0.0 <u>-28.9</u>	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 -108 (Relative Di Votes by St # 193 345 0 538 -152	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1 fference) fate & CD % 35.9 64.1 0.0 -28.3	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 - <u>5,805,444</u> <i>GOP # a</i> <i>GOP # a</i> <i>e</i> # and % of Ele <i>Net Differ</i> Popular Vo # 39,198,755 47,402,357 9,676,760 96,277,872 - <u>8,203,602</u> <i>GOP # a</i>	nte <u>%</u> 37.4 43.0 19.5 <u>-5.6</u> and % c ctoral W rence by te <u>%</u> 40.7 49.2 10.1 <u>-8.5</u> und % c	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202 of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta Electoral # 159 379 0 538 -220	Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 - <u>37.5</u> Vote by tes & D tes & D t	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 - <u>15</u> / States: Districts: Districts: Districts: States Ca # 19 32 0 51 - <u>13</u> / States:	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 -29.4 168 215 47 arried % 37.3 62.7 0.0 -25.5 159	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u> 31.2 40.0 8.7 Dsts. Ca # 155 281 0 436 <u>-126</u> 29.6	rried <u>%</u> 41.1 58.9 0.0 <u>-17.9</u> 28.0 rried <u>%</u> 35.6 64.4 0.0 <u>-28.9</u>	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 <u>-108</u> (Relative Di Votes by St # 193 345 0 538 <u>-152</u>	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1 fference) tate & CD % 35.9 64.1 0.0 -28.3	
1992 GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv GOP Dem Oth Total <u>GOP</u> Adv <i>GOP</i> Adv	Popular Vo # 39,103,882 44,909,326 20,411,806 104,425,014 -5,805,444 GOP # a P # and % of Ele Net Differ 9,676,760 96,277,872 -8,203,602 GOP # a COP # a	where % 37.4 43.0 19.5 -5.6	Electoral # 168 370 0 538 -202 of Electoral /ote by Sta / using Sta Electoral 379 0 538 -220 of Electoral /ote by Sta	l Vote % 31.2 68.8 0.0 <u>-37.5</u> Vote by ites & D tes & D vote by 29.6 70.4 0.0 <u>-40.9</u> Vote by	States Ca # 18 33 0 51 <u>-15</u> <i>istricts:</i> <i>istricts:</i> <i>istricts:</i> States Ca # 19 32 0 51 -13 <i>istricts:</i>	arried % 35.3 64.7 0.0 -29.4 168 215 47 arried % 37.3 62.7 0.0 -25.5 159 193	Dsts. Ca # 179 257 0 436 <u>-78</u> 31.2 40.0 8.7 Dsts. Ca # 155 281 0 436 <u>-126</u> 29.6 35.9	rried % 41.1 58.9 0.0 - <u>17.9</u> 28.0 rried % 35.6 64.4 0.0 - <u>28.9</u>	Votes by St # 215 323 0 538 <u>-108</u> (Relative Di Votes by St # 193 345 0 538 <u>-152</u>	tate & CD % 40.0 60.0 0.0 -20.1 fference) tate & CD % 35.9 64.1 0.0 -28.3	

2000	Popular Vote		Electoral Vote		States Carried		Dsts. Carried		Votes by State & CD	
	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%	#	%
GOP	49,531,037	48.1	271	50.4	30	58.8	228	52.3	288	53.5
Dem	49,737,936	48.3	267	49.6	21	41.2	208	47.7	250	46.5
Oth	3,777,265	3.7	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0	0	0.0
Total	103,046,238	ļ	538	ľ	51	ļ	436	ļ	538	
GOP Adv	<u>-206,899</u>	<u>-0.2</u>	<u>4</u>	<u>0.7</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>17.6</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>4.6</u>	<u>38</u>	<u>7.1</u>
	GOP # (and % c	of Electoral	Vote by	y States:	271	50.4			
GOP	# and % of Ele	ctoral V	/ote by Sta	tes & E)istricts:	288	53.5			
	Net Diffe	rence bį	j using Sta	ites & E)istricts:	17	3.2	6.3	(Relative Di	fference)

For 2000, Dsts. Carried is an estimate based upon results to date.

Notes:

1. In the early years several states elected members at-large elections to avoid redistrictings. Data for these elections may be excluded from this analysis.



Chart 1. Republican % for Selected Vote Factors, 1952-2000



Chart 2. Difference Between GOP% of Electoral Vote and GOP% of Popular Vote



