IN 1 LAKE CO.; PORTER CO. SUBURBS
Visclosky, Peter J. (D)

| Office | Dem Vote | Rep Vote | Oth Vote | Tot Vote | Dem\% | Rep\% | Oth\% | Margin | M\% | Winner |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 Pres. | 117,126 | 68,403 | 37,136 | 222,665 | 52.6 | 30.7 | 16.7 | 48,723 | 21.9 | Clinton |
| 1992 Cong. | 147,054 | 64,770 | 0 | 211,824 | 69.4 | 30.6 | 0.0 | 82,284 | 38.8 | Dem |

IN 2 MUNCIE; ANDERSON; COLUMBUS
Sharp, Philip (D)

| Office | Dem Vote | Rep Vote | Oth Vote | Tot Vote | Dem\% | Rep\% | Oth\% | Margin | M\% | Winner |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 Pres. | 81,915 | 101,341 | 50,424 | 233,680 | 35.1 | 43.4 | 21.6 | 19,426 | 8.3 | Bush |
| 1992 Cong. | 130,881 | 90,593 | 7,821 | 229,295 | 57.1 | 39.5 | 3.4 | 40,288 | 17.6 | Dem |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Clinton NET LOSS |  |

IN 3 SOUTH BEND; ELKHART

|  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |
| Dem\% | Rep\% | Oth\% | Margin |
| 38.3 | 42.5 | 19.2 | 9,225 |
| 57.5 | 42.6 | 0.0 | 31,435 |

Roemer, Timothy J. (D)

| Office | Dem Vote | Rep Vote | Oth Vote | Tot Vote | Dem\% | Rep\% | Oth\% | Margin | M\% | Winner |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 Pres. | $\mathbf{8 2 , 4 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{9 1 , 7 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 3 5 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 1 5 , 5 4 9}$ | 38.3 | $\mathbf{4 2 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{9 , 2 2 5}$ | $\mathbf{4 . 2}$ | Bush |  |
| 1992 Cong. | 121,269 | 89,834 | 0 | 211,103 | 57.5 | 42.6 | 0.0 | 31,435 | 14.9 | Dem |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Clinton NET LOSS |  |

IN 4 FORT WAYNE

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Office | Dem Vote | Rep Vote | Oth Vote | Tot Vote | Dem\% | Rep\% | Oth\% | Margin | M\% | Winner |  |
| 1992 Pres. | 69,292 | 102,779 | $\mathbf{4 9 , 5 6 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 1 , 6 3 6}$ | 31.3 | $\mathbf{4 6 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 2 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 4 8 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 . 1}$ | Bush |  |
| 1992 Cong. | 134,907 | 82,468 | 0 | 217,375 | 62.1 | 37.9 | 0.0 | 52,439 | 24.1 | Dem |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Clinton NET LOSS |  |

IN 5 KOKOMO

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Mar |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Office | Dem Vote | Rep Vote | Oth Vote | Tot Vote | Dem\% | Rep\% | Oth\% | Margin | M\% | Winner |
| 1992 Pres. | 70,893 | 103,118 | 52,354 | 226,365 | 31.3 | 45.6 | 23.1 | 32,225 | 14.3 | Bush |
| 1992 Cong. | 107,973 | 112,492 | 0 | 220,465 | 49.0 | 51.0 | 0.0 | 4,519 | 2.0 | GOP |

IN 6 INDIANAPOLIS SUBURBS
Burton, Dan (R)

| Office | Dem Vote | Rep Vote | Oth Vote | Tot Vote | Dem\% | Rep\% | Oth\% | Margin | M\% | Winner |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 Pres. | 61,171 | 153,280 | 54,718 | 269,169 | 22.7 | 56.9 | $\mathbf{2 0 . 3}$ | 92,109 | $\mathbf{3 4 . 2}$ | Bush |
| 1992 Cong. | 71,952 | 186,499 | 4 | $\mathbf{2 5 8 , 4 5 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{7 2 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 4 , 5 4 7}$ | $\mathbf{4 4 . 3}$ | GOP |

IN 7 TERRE HAUTE; LAFAYETTE

| Office | Dem Vote | Rep Vote | Oth Vote | Tot Vote | Dem\% | Rep\% | Oth\% | Margin | M\% | Winner |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 Pres. | 70,699 | 103,700 | 49,103 | 223,502 | 31.6 | 46.4 | $\mathbf{2 2 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 3 , 0 0 1}$ | 14.8 | Bush |
| 1992 Cong. | $\mathbf{8 8 , 0 0 5}$ | 129,189 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 1 7 , 1 9 4}$ | $\mathbf{4 0 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 1 , 1 8 4}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 0}$ | GOP |

IN 8 EVANSVILLE; BLOOMINGTON

| Office | Dem Vote | Rep Vote | Oth Vote | Tot Vote | Dem\% | Rep\% | Oth\% | Margin | M\% | Winner |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 1992 Pres. | 103,697 | 97,070 | 43,181 | 243,948 | 42.5 | 39.8 | 17.7 | 6,627 | 2.7 | Clinton |
| 1992 Cong. | 125,244 | 108,054 | 5,099 | 238,397 | 52.5 | 45.3 | 2.1 | 17,190 | 7.2 | Dem |

IN 9 NEW ALBANY

| Office | Dem Vote | Rep Vote | Oth Vote | Tot Vote | Dem\% | Rep\% | Oth\% | Margin | M\% | Winner |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1992 Pres. | 98,063 | 97,441 | 44,873 | 240,377 | 40.8 | 40.5 | 18.7 | 622 | 0.3 | Clinton |
| 1992 Cong. | 160,980 | 70,057 | 0 | 231,037 | 69.7 | 30.3 | 0.0 | 90,923 | 39.4 | Dem |

IN 10 INDIANAPOLIS
Jacobs, Andrew, Jr. (D)

| Office <br> 1992 Pres. <br> 1992 Cong. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Dem Vote } \\ 92,514 \\ 117,604 \end{array}$ | Rep Vote <br> 70,458 <br> 64,378 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oth Vote } \\ 33,229 \\ 1,849 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Tot Vote } \\ 196,201 \\ 183,831 \end{array}$ | Dem\% 47.2 64.0 | Rep\% 35.9 35.0 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Oth\% } \\ 16.9 \\ 1.0 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Margin } \\ 22,056 \\ 53,226 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { M\% } \\ 11.3 \\ 29.0 \end{array}$ | Winner Clinton Dem |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

POLIDATA ® Demographic and Political Guides. All Rights Reserved. Copyright © 1993. www.polidata.org

1. Data compiled by Polidata with the assistance of Congressional Quarterly.
2. Plan notes: INDIANA. Plan: IN92H, 10 districts (est. 06/13/1991) [1992-2000]
3. BOLDING indicates unit won by REPUBLICANS. Plurality in UPPERCASE indicates unit won with a majority of the vote. RED for REPUBLICAN, Blue for Democrat.
4. PRESIDENT: 'Other' votes for President may include several candidates and may be inconsistent across all states. Exception: 'Other' is only Perot for 1992 \& 1996.
5. The degree to which Presidential results were recompiled for new plans will vary by both the changes in the plan and resource availability. Centrally counted absentee votes may be excluded. 6. CONGRESS: After 1980, compiled from state reports; small differences may be noted from U.S. House Clerk reports. 'Other' votes for Congress includes all other parties.
6. For NY etc.: votes aggregated by candidate regardless of party; for LA: results included only for November election in which no more than one Dem or one Rep was on the ballot.
7. For AR, FL, LA and OK in some years and districts: uncontested races which are not on the ballot or for which votes are not counted appear here as 1 vote for the winner.
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